

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ΓE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,380	07/29/200	3	Kirk Edward Vandezande	101384-22	101384-22 6539	
27388	7590 03.	/13/2006		EXAMINER		
•	CLAUGHLIN	ZHOU, S	ZHOU, SHUBO			
875 THIRD A				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10022				1631		

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/629,380	VANDEZANDE, KIRK EDWARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shubo (Joe) Zhou	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·— · · —	— is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		secution as to the r	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	·						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the i	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document 		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	·		Stage				
application from the International Bure	· ·		90				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.					
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P		152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction/Election Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a method and system for determining an optimal test order for diagnosing mutation involving applying at least one decision tree algorithm, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- II. Claims 15-18, drawn to a method and system for determining an optimal test order for diagnosing mutation involving applying a match pattern, classified in class 702, subclass 19.

The inventions of groups I-II are independent/distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of groups I and II are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the methods of group I and II are related because both can be used to determine an optimal test order for diagnosing mutations and sharing such steps as receiving data and creating a database. However they are distinct because they comprise distinct steps as to treating the received data, and produce different results. Group I involves a critical step of treating the received data with at least one decision tree algorithm, which generates strategies from the accessed records and calculates projected cost for the strategies. The result of the method is to score at least a portion of the new data received with the

Application/Control Number: 10/629,380

Art Unit: 1631

decision tree algorithm and generate a recommendation if the score satisfies a threshold. Group II, however, involves treating the received data by applying a match pattern to the history database created, which match pattern compares the match pattern to each of the accessed records, and calculates the frequency value from the matched records identified by the comparing step. The result of the method is to calculate the frequency value from the matched records identified by the comparing step, and generate a recommendation if a frequency value of matched records located by the match pattern satisfies a match threshold. The steps of applying a decision tree algorithm is distinct from those of applying a match pattern as specified in claim 2 for the former and in claim 16 for the latter. Clearly the methods are mutually exclusive, not obvious variants and have different modes of actions, functions and/or effects.

Because these inventions are independent/distinct for the reasons given above, they have acquired a separate status in the art. Searing both groups together would involve searching all the distinct subject matter including decision tree algorithm and match pattern methods. Thus, there would be a serious search burden if they were examined together. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shubo (Joe) Zhou, whose telephone number is 571-272-0724. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel,

Art Unit: 1631

Ph.D., can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Patent Analyst Tina Plunkett whose phone number is (571) 272-0549.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Shubo (Joe) Zhou, Ph.D. Shubo Here 3/6/06

Patent Examiner